

#### NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Int J Cancer. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 April 10.

Published in final edited form as:

Int J Cancer. 2008 February 1; 122(3): 634–638. doi:10.1002/ijc.23072.

### Alcohol consumption and endometrial cancer risk: The Multiethnic Cohort

Veronica Wendy Setiawan<sup>1,\*</sup>, Kristine R. Monroe<sup>1</sup>, Marc T. Goodman<sup>2</sup>, Laurence N. Kolonel<sup>2</sup>, Malcolm C. Pike<sup>1</sup>, and Brian E. Henderson<sup>1</sup>

1Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

2Epidemiology Program, Cancer Research Center of Hawaii, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI

#### Abstract

The role of alcohol intake in the etiology of endometrial cancer is unclear. We examined the impact of alcohol intake on endometrial cancer risk among 41,574 postmenopausal African-American, Japanese-American, Latina, Native-Hawaiian and White women recruited to the prospective Multiethnic Cohort Study in 1993–1996. During an average of 8.3 years of follow-up, 324 incident invasive endometrial cancer cases were identified among these women. Data on alcohol intake and endometrial cancer risk factors were obtained from the baseline questionnaire. Relative risks (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for endometrial cancer associated with alcohol intake were estimated using log-linear (Cox) proportional hazard models stratified by age, year of recruitment, ethnicity and study center, and adjusted for several confounding factors. Increased alcohol consumption was associated with increased risk (p trend = 0.013). Compared to non-drinkers, women consuming  $\geq 2 \text{ drinks/day had a multivariate RR of } 2.01 (95\% \text{ CI: } 1.30, 3.11)$ . There was no increase in risk associated with <1 drink/day (RR = 1.01; 95% CI: 0.77, 1.33) and 1 to <2 drinks/day (RR = 1.09; 95% CI: 0.62, 1.93). There was no clear effect modification by body mass index, postmenopausal hormone use, parity, oral contraceptive use or smoking status, though our power to detect such interactions was limited. Our results suggest that only alcohol consumption equivalent to 2 or more drinks per day increases risk of endometrial cancer in postmenopausal women.

#### Keywords

endometrial cance; cohort studies; alcohol intake; risk factors

Endometrial cancer is the most common gynecological cancer in the United States<sup>1</sup> and Europe.<sup>2</sup> The role of unopposed estrogens in the etiology of endometrial cancer is well established.<sup>3</sup> Daily alcohol use has been associated with higher levels of circulating estrogens in postmenopausal women in several studies.<sup>4-11</sup> Alcohol consumption has also been found to further increase blood estrogen levels in postmenopausal women who are taking estrogen replacement therapy.<sup>12,13</sup> It is therefore plausible that women who consume alcoholic beverages are at increased risk of endometrial cancer.

Relatively few epidemiologic studies have examined the relationship between alcohol consumption and endometrial cancer. Data from 3 prospective cohort studies offered little support for an association<sup>14-16</sup> and results from case-control studies are conflicting [reviewed

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, 1441 Eastlake Avenue, Room 4425, Los Angeles, California 90033, USA. Fax:+323-865-0127. E-mail: vsetiawa@usc.edu.

in Ref. 17]. Bandera *et al.*<sup>17</sup> offered several explanations for these inconsistent findings which include small sample size, limited range of alcohol intake, and insufficient control of confounding factors. Because of the sparse and conflicting results to date, it has not been possible to draw any firm conclusion about the role of alcohol in the etiology of endometrial cancer. It is clear that further data, especially from a prospective study, regarding this topic are needed. We report here our analysis of the relationship between alcohol and endometrial cancer risk in the Multiethnic Cohort Study (MEC) which has a wide-range of alcohol exposure and comprehensive data on endometrial cancer risk factors.

#### Material and methods

#### Study population

The MEC is a prospective study designed to examine the association of diet, life-style and genetic factors with incidence of cancer and other chronic diseases. The details of the study design and baseline characteristics have been published.<sup>18</sup> Briefly, the recruitment of the cohort began in 1993 and was completed in 1996. Potential participants were identified through driver's license files from the Departments of Motor Vehicles, voter registration lists and Health Care Financing Administration data files. The cohort consists of >215,000 men and women (aged 45 to 75 years at baseline) and comprises mainly 5 self-reported racial/ethnic populations: African Americans, Japanese Americans, Latinos, Native Hawaiians and Whites living in Hawaii and California (mainly Los Angeles County). Each participant completed a self-administered mail baseline questionnaire that included diet, demographic factors, anthropometric measures, other lifestyle factors, history of prior medical conditions, family history of cancer and for women, menstrual and reproductive history and exogenous hormone use. The institutional review boards at the University of Hawaii and at the University of Southern California approved the study protocol.

#### **Exclusion criteria**

Women were excluded from the present analysis if they (*i*) had cancer other than nonmelanoma skin cancer before the date the baseline questionnaire was completed (n = 5,526), (*ii*) missing menopausal status (n = 4,645), premenopausal (n = 13,382), reported a hysterectomy or a bilateral oophorectomy on the questionnaire (n = 27,510), or (*iii*) had missing data on any of the following variables: education, BMI, age at menarche, parity, oral contraceptive (OC) use, HT use, smoking status, or vigorous physical activity (n = 6,918). After all exclusions, 41,574 postmenopausal women (15.7% African Americans, 31.5% Japanese Americans, 21.5% Latinas, 6.7% Native Hawaiians, and 24.5% Whites) were included in the analyses. Excluded subjects were slightly younger than to those who remained in the analyses but were similar with respect to distribution of endometrial cancer risk factors.

#### Follow-up and case identification

Follow-up began when participants completed the baseline questionnaire and continued to the first of the following endpoints: (*i*) diagnosis of endometrial cancer, (*ii*) diagnosis of other cancer (but not nonmelanoma skin cancer), (*iii*) death, or (*iv*) end of follow-up (December 31, 2002). Incident endometrial cancer cases were identified by record linkage to the Hawaii Tumor Registry, the Cancer Surveillance Program for Los Angeles County and the California State Cancer Registry. All of these tumor registries participate in the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) program of cancer registration. Cases of endometrial cancer had International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD-O-2) code C54 (uterine corpus). Uterine sarcomas (n = 20) were not included in the case group. Deaths within the cohort were determined by annual linkage to state death certificate files in California and Hawaii, and periodically to the national death index. Case ascertainment and death information were complete through December 31, 2002. On average, cohort participants were

followed for 8.3 years. A total of 324 incident cases of endometrial cancer were identified during the follow-up period among the at-risk cohort.

#### Assessment of alcohol intake

Consumption of alcoholic beverages during the year preceding the baseline questionnaire was assessed by consumption frequency questions. Alcoholic drinks were classified into regular beer, light beer, red wine, white/pink wine (including champagne and sake) and hard liquor. Nine intake categories ranged from "never" to "4 or more times per day" and information on usual serving size was also requested. Mean daily alcohol intake was calculated using our extensive food composition table.<sup>18</sup> The total intake of alcohol was expressed in grams/day, and it was calculated by multiplying the volume of a drink by the percentage of alcohol content. Total alcohol intake was categorized into 4 categories: nondrinkers (0 g/day), <1 drink/day (>0 to < 12 g/day), 1 to <2 drinks/day (12 to <24 g/day) and ≥2 drinks/day (≥24 g/day). Regular and light beer were combined into a single beer variable and red and white wine were combined into a single wine variable since separate analysis resulted in small numbers of subjects within each stratum.

#### Statistical analysis

Hazard rate ratios (RRs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for endometrial cancer incidence associated with alcohol intake were estimated using log-linear proportional hazard (Cox proportional hazard) models adjusted for (stratified on) age at recruitment (in 1year age groups), year of recruitment (single years), race/ethnicity and study center (Hawaii/ Los Angeles). Fine stratification by year of recruitment ensures that any change in the characteristics of the subjects over time of recruitment is adjusted for. The underlying time variable in the analysis was time from the date of enrollment to the date of endometrial cancer diagnosis, date of other cancer diagnosis, death or censoring. The RRs were estimated with and without adjustment for the following potential confounders: smoking status (never, past, current), age at menarche ( $\geq 12, 13-14, \geq 15$ ), age of natural menopause (<45, 45-49, 50-54,  $\geq$ 55), BMI (continuous), parity (nulliparous, 1, 2–3,  $\geq$ 4 children), duration and type of HT use (never, and per 5 year of past estrogen only therapy (ET), past estrogen-progestin therapy (EPT), current ET, current EPT use), duration of OC use (never,  $\geq$ 5 years, >5 years), education (years), diabetes (no/yes), hypertension (no/yes), family history of endometrial cancer (no/yes). We also investigated possible effect modification of the relationship between alcohol intake and endometrial cancer by BMI (<25, 25 to <30,  $\geq$ 30), HT use (never, past, current ET, current EPT), parity (nulliparous, parous), smoking status (never, past, current) and OC use (never, ever). The likelihood ratio test was used to determine the significance of the interaction between alcohol intake and the above variables with respect to endometrial cancer. The test compared a main effect, no interaction model with a full model containing a main effect and an interaction term for the variables of interest. Interaction terms were created using categories as described above, but the BMI categories were treated as continuous. Trend tests were conducted by treating each category as a continuous term in the multivariate models. All p values are 2-sided. Statistical analyses were performed in SAS version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and STATA version 8 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

#### Results

Baseline characteristics among postmenopausal women according to category of alcohol intake are shown in Table I. Among the cohort, 62.3% women were nondrinkers. The majority of alcohol drinkers in this study were White women. Drinkers tend to be leaner and more likely to be nulliparous than nondrinkers. Drinkers also reported a higher prevalence of ever OC use and a much higher prevalence of current smoking than nondrinkers. The distribution of age at menarche, age at menopause, HT use, diabetes, hypertension and family history of endometrial cancer were roughly similar across the categories of alcohol intake.

Table II shows the association between alcohol intake and endometrial cancer. Increased consumption was associated with increased risk (*p* trend = 0.013). Compared to nondrinkers, women consuming  $\geq 2$  drinks/day had a multivariate RR of 2.01 (95% CI: 1.30, 3.11). There was no increase in risk associated with <1 drink/day (multivariate RR = 1.01; 95% CI: 0.77, 1.33) and 1 to <2 drinks/day (multivariate RR = 1.09; 95% CI: 0.62, 1.93). We also explored the association between endometrial cancer and specific type of alcoholic beverage. We observed a significant increase in risk with increasing wine (*p* trend = 0.007) and hard liquor (*p* trend = 0.015) consumption. Compared with nondrinkers, wine drinkers who consumed  $\geq 2$  drinks/day had a RR of 3.15 (95% CI: 1.63, 6.09). For liquor drinkers relative to nondrinkers, the RR of endometrial cancer for women who consumed 1 to <2 drinks/day was 2.25 (95% CI: 1.06, 4.77) and for women who consumed <2 drinks/day was 1.96 (95% CI: 0.98, 3.90). There were very few women who consumed  $\geq 2$  beers/day; although not statistically significant, intake of alcohol from beer was also adversely associated with endometrial cancer.

We explored the potential modifying effect of other endometrial cancer risk factors on the association between alcohol and endometrial cancer (Table III). We considered BMI, smoking, HT use, OC use, and parity because they were either known to influence or may influence sex steroid hormone levels in postmenopausal women.<sup>5,19-22</sup> For ease of presentation and because there was no evidence of association with this moderate consumption, we collapsed the 2 middle categories (<1 drink/day and 1 to <2 drink/day). Risk associated with consuming  $\geq$ 2 drinks/day was stronger among lean women (RR = 2.88; 95% CI: 1.57, 5.31) than among overweight (RR = 1.22; 95% CI: 0.43, 3.44) or obese (RR = 1.34; 95% CI: 0.43, 4.11) women. The risk associated with drinking  $\geq$ 2 drinks/day was also stronger among nulliparous women (RR = 3.56; 95% CI: 1.20, 10.59) than among parous women (RR = 1.82; 95% CI: 1.11, 2.99). Although the positive associations between alcohol and endometrial cancer appeared stronger among lean women or nulliparous women, the tests for interaction for both factors were not significant (*p* = 0.09). There was no statistically significant interaction between alcohol intake and HT use (*p* = 0.13), OC use (*p* = 0.54), or smoking status (*p* = 0.42).

#### Discussion

In this large multiethnic prospective study, we found a significant increase in endometrial cancer risk among postmenopausal women who consumed  $\geq 2$  alcoholic drinks/day. The positive association was observed for all types of alcohol beverages suggesting that alcohol *per se* is responsible for the increase in risk.

A potential biological mechanism by which alcohol may increase endometrial cancer risk is related to alcohol's impact on estrogen levels. The unopposed estrogen hypothesis for endometrial carcinogenesis is well accepted<sup>3</sup>; prolonged exposure to estrogens leads to increased mitotic proliferation of endometrial cells, resulting in increased DNA replication errors and somatic mutations which can lead to a malignant phenotype. Several studies have shown that alcohol intake increases endogenous serum levels of estrogen in postmenopausal women.<sup>4-11</sup> In the EPIC (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition) study, the largest published study on alcohol intake and sex-steroid hormone concentrations, a significant elevation in blood estrone levels was observed only among postmenopausal women who consumed more than 25 g of ethanol/day (~2 or more drinks/day) compared to nondrinkers<sup>11</sup>; consumers of ~2 drinks/day had a 24% increase in estrone levels, consumers of ~1.5 drinks/day had a nonsignificant increase of 10% and lower levels of consumption had no increase. The increased estrogen levels in women consuming alcohol is thought to be due either to a decrease in the metabolic clearance of estrogens or to increased production.<sup>23</sup>

Setiawan et al.

To our knowledge, only 3 other prospective studies have examined the association between alcohol consumption and endometrial cancer risk.<sup>14-16</sup> A limited range of alcohol intake may explain the absence of association in 2 of these studies.<sup>14,15</sup> In the 2 no-effect cohort studies, <sup>14,15</sup> the lower bound of the highest category of alcohol intake included women who consumed 4 or 7 g of ethanol/day. The third study, the Netherlands Cohort Study, had a wider range of intake, with the highest category of women being those who reported  $\geq$ 30 g ethanol/day<sup>16</sup>; compared to nondrinkers, a nonstatistically significant increase in risk was observed in this category (RR = 1.78; 95% CI 0.88, 3.60), with no increase in risk evident in the lower categories of alcohol intake. We found endometrial cancer risk to be elevated only among women who drank at least 2 drinks per day which supports the EPIC results if alcohol exerts its effect on endometrial cancer by increasing estrogen levels.

We examined possible interactions between alcohol intake and several risk factors on endometrial cancer risk. Although the interaction was not statistically significant, the positive association of alcohol intake with endometrial cancer risk appeared stronger among lean women than among overweight or obese women. It has been suggested that there is an upper limit beyond which unopposed estrogens do not induce further increase in the mitotic rate of endometrial cells.<sup>24</sup> Lean postmenopausal women who have low circulating levels of endogenous estrogens may be more sensitive to modest elevations in estrogen levels resulting from alcohol drinking than are overweight or obese women among whom higher estrogen levels might mask alcohol as an independent risk factor. Earlier studies examining possible interaction with BMI did not offer conclusive results.<sup>17</sup> Although not statistically significant, the association between alcohol intake and endometrial cancer was stronger in nulliparous women than in parous women; if this is true we have no explanation of why this should be. However, it is possible that the effect of alcohol on endometrial cancer risk was more observable among nulliparous women just because there were more drinkers and wider range of intake among these women compared to parous women. Future studies are needed to confirm our findings.

A previous study has shown that in postmenopausal women, the acute effects of alcohol on estrogen levels is more pronounced among normal weight women who are on ET than among women who are not using ET.<sup>25</sup> Based on this finding, a stronger positive association between alcohol and endometrial cancer among current ET users than among nonusers is expected. We did not observe a significant interaction of alcohol with HT use, but the small number of cases in certain categories (*e.g.* current ET users who drank >2 drinks/day) limited the power of our test for interaction. Only a few studies have evaluated the possible interaction between ET and alcohol on endometrial cancer and the results were not conclusive.<sup>17</sup>

The strengths of our study include its prospective design, exclusion of prevalent cancer cases at baseline, and the ability to control for potential confounding factors. Limitations include potential misclassification of self-reported alcohol intake (which would tend to be nondifferential because of the prospective design of our study, biasing the RRs toward the null), and limited power in the interaction analysis.

In summary, our cohort study demonstrated that postmenopausal women who consume 2 or more alcoholic drinks per day have an increased risk of endometrial cancer. There was no clear evidence for interaction of alcohol with other endometrial cancer risk factors. Further studies with sufficient numbers of heavy drinkers and detailed information on known risk factors for endometrial cancer are needed to corroborate our finding.

#### Acknowledgements

We are most indebted to the participants of the Multiethnic Cohort Study for their participation and commitment. We thank Dr. Lynne Wilkens and Mr. Hank Huang for their support with the data management and Ms. Peggy Wan for her assistance in the data analysis. V.W.S. is supported in part by the NCI Career Development Award (CA116543).

Grant sponsor: National Cancer Institute (NCI); Grant number: CA54281; Grant sponsor: NCI Career Development Award; Grant number: CA116543.

#### References

- 1. American Cancer Society. Cancer Facts and Figures 2007. American Cancer Society; Atlanta: 2007.
- Ferlay J, Autier P, Boniol M, Heanue M, Colombet M, Boyle P. Estimates of the cancer incidence and mortality in Europe in 2006. Ann Oncol 2007;18:581–92. [PubMed: 17287242]
- Akhmedkhanov A, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Toniolo P. Role of exogenous and endogenous hormones in endometrial cancer: review of the evidence and research perspectives. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2001;943:296–315. [PubMed: 11594550]
- 4. Gavaler JS, Van Thiel DH. The association between moderate alcoholic beverage consumption and serum estradiol and testosterone levels in normal postmenopausal women: relationship to the literature. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1992;16:87–92. [PubMed: 1558307]
- Hankinson SE, Willett WC, Manson JE, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Longcope C, Speizer FE. Alcohol, height, and adiposity in relation to estrogen and prolactin levels in postmenopausal women. J Natl Cancer Inst 1995;87:1297–302. [PubMed: 7658481]
- Nagata C, Kabuto M, Takatsuka N, Shimizu H. Associations of alcohol, height, and reproductive factors with serum hormone concentrations in postmenopausal Japanese women. Steroid hormones in Japanese post-menopausal women. Breast Cancer Res Treat 1997;44:235–41. [PubMed: 9266103]
- Madigan MP, Troisi R, Potischman N, Dorgan JF, Brinton LA, Hoover RN. Serum hormone levels in relation to reproductive and lifestyle factors in postmenopausal women (United States). Cancer Causes Control 1998;9:199–207. [PubMed: 9578297]
- Onland-Moret NC, Peeters PH, van der Schouw YT, Grobbee DE, van Gils CH. Alcohol and endogenous sex steroid levels in postmenopausal women: a cross-sectional study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2005;90:1414–9. [PubMed: 15572431]
- Verkasalo PK, Thomas HV, Appleby PN, Davey GK, Key TJ. Circulating levels of sex hormones and their relation to risk factors for breast cancer: a cross-sectional study in 1092 pre- and postmenopausal women (United Kingdom). Cancer Causes Control 2001;12:47–59. [PubMed: 11227925]
- Wu F, Ames R, Evans MC, France JT, Reid IR. Determinants of sex hormone-binding globulin in normal postmenopausal women. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2001;54:81–7. [PubMed: 11167930]
- Rinaldi S, Peeters PH, Bezemer ID, Dossus L, Biessy C, Sacerdote C, Berrino F, Panico S, Palli D, Tumino R, Khaw KT, Bingham S, et al. Relationship of alcohol intake and sex steroid concentrations in blood in pre- and post-menopausal women: the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. Cancer Causes Control 2006;17:1033–43. [PubMed: 16933054]
- Purohit V. Moderate alcohol consumption and estrogen levels in post-menopausal women: a review. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1998;22:994–7. [PubMed: 9726268]
- Ginsburg ES. Estrogen, alcohol and breast cancer risk. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 1999;69:299– 306. [PubMed: 10419006]
- 14. Gapstur SM, Potter JD, Sellers TA, Kushi LH, Folsom AR. Alcohol consumption and postmenopausal endometrial cancer: results from the Iowa Women's Health Study. Cancer Causes Control 1993;4:323–9. [PubMed: 8347781]
- Terry P, Baron JA, Weiderpass E, Yuen J, Lichtenstein P, Nyren O. Lifestyle and endometrial cancer risk: a cohort study from the Swedish Twin Registry. Int J Cancer 1999;82:38–42. [PubMed: 10360818]
- Loerbroks A, Schouten LJ, Goldbohm RA, van den Brandt PA. Alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, and endometrial cancer risk: results from the Netherlands Cohort Study. Cancer Causes Control 2007;18:551–60. [PubMed: 17437180]

- Bandera EV, Kushi LH, Olson SH, Chen WY, Muti P. Alcohol consumption and endometrial cancer: some unresolved issues. Nutr Cancer 2003;45:24–9. [PubMed: 12791501]
- Kolonel LN, Henderson BE, Hankin JH, Nomura AM, Wilkens LR, Pike MC, Stram DO, Monroe KR, Earle ME, Nagamine FS. A multiethnic cohort in Hawaii and Los Angeles: baseline characteristics. Am J Epidemiol 2000;151:346–57. [PubMed: 10695593]
- Chubak J, Tworoger SS, Yasui Y, Ulrich CM, Stanczyk FZ, McTiernan A. Associations between reproductive and menstrual factors and postmenopausal sex hormone concentrations. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2004;13:1296–301. [PubMed: 15298949]
- Hankinson SE, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, Manson JE, Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Longcope C, Speizer FE. Reproductive factors and family history of breast cancer in relation to plasma estrogen and prolactin levels in postmenopausal women in the NursesÕ Health Study (United States). Cancer Causes Control 1995;6:217–24. [PubMed: 7612801]
- 21. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Reeves GK, Roddam A, Dorgan JF, Longcope C, Stanczyk FZ, Stephenson HE Jr, Falk RT, Miller R, Schatzkin A, Allen DS, et al. Body mass index, serum sex hormones, and breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003;95:1218–26. [PubMed: 12928347]
- Key TJ, Pike MC, Brown JB, Hermon C, Allen DS, Wang DY. Cigarette smoking and urinary oestrogen excretion in premenopausal and post-menopausal women. Br J Cancer 1996;74:1313–6. [PubMed: 8883424]
- 23. Ginsburg ES, Walsh BW, Shea BF, Gao X, Gleason RE, Barbieri RL. The effects of ethanol on the clearance of estradiol in postmenopausal women. Fertil Steril 1995;63:1227–30. [PubMed: 7750592]
- 24. Key TJ, Pike MC. The dose-effect relationship between "unopposed" oestrogens and endometrial mitotic rate: its central role in explaining and predicting endometrial cancer risk. Br J Cancer 1988;57:205–12. [PubMed: 3358913]
- Ginsburg ES, Mello NK, Mendelson JH, Barbieri RL, Teoh SK, Rothman M, Gao X, Sholar JW. Effects of alcohol ingestion on estrogens in postmenopausal women. JAMA 1996;276:1747–51. [PubMed: 8940324]

_
~
_
_
_
_
-
-
~
-
<u> </u>
=
-
$\mathbf{O}$
<u> </u>
_
_
<
a b
=
_
_
_
<b>(</b> )
0,
0
<b>U</b>
_
•

BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS<sup>1</sup> AMONG POSTMENOPAUSAL WOMEN IN THE MULTIETHNIC COHORT ACCORDING TO CATEGORY OF ALCOHOL INTAKE

Characteristics         Nontinuevaluation         Continuevaluation         In or 3 denivation           No. of women         25.87%         11.546         10.0.42 denivation           No. of women         52.0         60.1         50.0         50.1           African American         62.0         60.1         50.0         50.1           African American         25.87%         11.546         13.6           African American         25.0         20.1         50.1         50.1           Idations American         22.8         20.0         50.1         50.1         50.1           Idations induct (ggm <sup>2</sup> ), %         Antive Howaina         57         23.3         23.3         23.3         23.3         24.0           Motion Action         25.2         26.0         20.1         21.1         20.1         20.1           Antive Howaina         26.0         20.1         20.2         23.3         24.4         24.4         24.4           African American         26.2         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4         26.4<			Alcohol consump	otion/day	
No. of women         23,878         11,546         1,97           Age at color curp, mean         62.0         60.1         60.1           Ehnicity, %         African American         73.9         73.9         73.1           Ehnicity, %         African American         73.9         73.9         73.1           Ehnicity, %         African American         73.9         73.7         73.1           Age at color curp, mean         6.2         2.3         73.7         73.3           Maive Hawnian         6.3         73.7         6.3         73.1           Native Hawnian         6.3         73.3         73.3         73.3           Body muss index (kg m <sup>1</sup> ), %         6.4         9.04         57.5         6.4           Sto colo         26.0         20.4         2.94         2.4           Age at meanche, %         47.2         47.1         13.1         13.1           Age at meanche, %         13.1         13.1         13.1         13.1           Age at meanche, %         13.1         13.1         13.1         13.1           Age at meanche, %         13.1         13.1         13.1         13.1           Age at meanche, %         13.1         13.1 </th <th>Characteristics</th> <th>Nondrinkers (0 g/day)</th> <th>&lt;1 drink/day (&gt;0 to &lt;12 g/day)</th> <th>1 to &lt;2 drinks/day (12 to &lt;24 g/day)</th> <th>≥2 drinks/day (≥24 g/day)</th>	Characteristics	Nondrinkers (0 g/day)	<1 drink/day (>0 to <12 g/day)	1 to <2 drinks/day (12 to <24 g/day)	≥2 drinks/day (≥24 g/day)
Age at colore cury, mean         6.0         6.01         6	No. of women	25,878	11,546	1,873	2,277
	Age at cohort entry, mean	62.0	60.1	60.3	60.3
African American       [5]       [5]       [5]       [5]       [1]         Japanese American       308       207       [2]       [2]         Latina       Catina       222       237       [3]       [3]         Native Hawaian       67       63       27       7         Native Hawaian       67       63       23       33       33         Subor mass index (go <sup>17</sup> ), % $<25$ 64       23       33       33       33         Solo $<25$ $<26$ $<30$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$ $<31$	Ethnicity, %				
Japanese American         39.8         20.7         12.           Latin         Latin         6.7         3.3         2.1           Native Hawnian         6.7         6.3         7.7         7.           White         White         33.3         5.3         5.3         5.3           White         White         33.3         5.3         5.3         5.3           Body mass index (gm <sup>3</sup> ), % $< 25$ $< 49.4$ 57.5         6.4         2.4 $> 25 to < 30$ $> 30.4$ $> 30.4$ $> 30.4$ $> 30.4$ 3.8         3.8 $= 31.4$ $= 31.4$ $= 31.4$ $= 31.4$ $= 31.4$ $= 32.4$ $= 32.4$ $= 32.4$ Age at memorea. % $= 31.4$ $= 31.4$ $= 31.4$ $= 32.4$ $= $	African American	15.9	15.9	13.7	15.1
Latina        Latina <thlatina< th="">       Latina       Latina</thlatina<>	Japanese American	39.8	20.7	12.5	8.7
Native Havaiian         6.7         6.3         7.           White         White         33.3         54.4         54.4	Latina	22.2	23.7	13.3	9.7
Whie       333       53         Body mass index ( $q_{g}$ m <sup>3</sup> ), $s_{i}$ 33       53       57       64 $< 25$ $< 25$ $< 25$ $< 64$ $< 23$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$ $< 24$	Native Hawaiian	6.7	6.3	7.1	6.9
Body musi index $(g/m^3)$ , %       49.4       57.5       64. $< 25$ $25 \ (o < 30)$ $30.4$ $29.4$ $24.$ $\geq 30$ $\geq 30.2$ $30.4$ $29.4$ $24.$ $\geq 30$ $\geq 30.2$ $30.4$ $29.4$ $24.$ $\geq 1-4$ $\geq 12$ $30.6$ $29.4$ $24.$ Age at menarche. % $47.2$ $47.1$ $47.$ $15 - 14$ $39.6$ $39.8$ $38.$ $15 - 14$ $31.6$ $31.6$ $30.6$ $15 - 49$ $39.6$ $39.8$ $38.$ $45 - 49$ $51.6$ $13.1$ $13.1$ Age at menopause. % $16.3$ $15.1$ $16.$ $45 - 49$ $51.6$ $21.6$ $20.$ $55$ $55.7$ $11.0$ $12.7$ $16.$ $1000000000000000000000000000000000000$	White		33.3	53.3	59.6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Body mass index $(kg/m^2)$ , %				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<25	49.4	57.5	64.9	66.6
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25 to <30	30.4	29.4	24.6	24.3
Age at menarche, % $\leq 12$ $\leq 12$ $\leq 17$ $\leq 17$ $\leq 17$ $\leq 11$ $\leq 112$ $15 + 13 - 14$ $= 39.6$ $\leq 39.8$ $= 38.8$ 13 - 14 $= 13.1$ $= 13.1$ $= 13.1$ $= 13.1Age at menopause, %\leq 45 = 45.49 = 13.1 = 13.1 = 13.1 = 16.345.49$ $= 16.3$ $= 15.1$ $= 16.3$ $= 15.1$ $= 16.345.49$ $= 31.9$ $= 31.4$ $= 29.950-54$ $= 41.1$ $= 42.6$ $= 40.1\leq 55.3 \leq 11.0 = 12.7 = 14.1Hormore therapy use, %Nulliparous, %Nulliparous, %Hormore therapy use, %= 11.0$ $= 11.0$ $= 12.7$ $= 12.7$ $= 14.1= 11.0$ $= 10.7$	>30	20.2	13.1	10.5	9.1
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } & \leq 12 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	Age at menarche, %				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	≤12	47.2	47.1	47.8	43.5
15+ $13.1$ $13.1$ $13.1$ $13.1$ Age at menopause, % $<45$ $16.3$ $15.1$ $16.3$ Age at menopause, % $<45$ $16.3$ $15.1$ $16.3$ $45-49$ $31.9$ $31.4$ $29.5$ $45-49$ $31.9$ $31.4$ $29.5$ $45-49$ $31.9$ $31.4$ $20.5$ $45-49$ $31.9$ $31.4$ $20.5$ $50-54$ $41.1$ $42.6$ $40.$ $50-54$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.9$ $50-54$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $10.7$ $20-56$ $11.0$ $12.7$ $12.7$ Nulliparous, % $11.0$ $12.7$ $12.7$ Hormone therapy use, % $56.9$ $50.2$ $49.$ Nover $56.9$ $50.2$ $42.6$ $5.7$ Past $16.7$ $16.7$ $17.7$ $58.$ Current EPT $20.7$ $27.7$ $27.7$ $28.$	13-14	39.6	39.8	38.6	43.0
Age at menopause, % 45 45 16.3 15.1 16. 45 49 31.9 31.4 29. 50-54 41.1 42.6 40. 50-54 10.7 10.9 13. Nulliparous, % Hormone therapy use, % Never 56.9 50.2 49. Past 16.7 17.7 16. Current ET 22.6 27.7 28.	15+	13.1	13.1	13.6	13.5
	Age at menopause, %				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<45	16.3	15.1	16.4	15.8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	45-49	31.9	31.4	29.7	33.8
<ul> <li>≥55</li> <li>10.7</li> <li>10.9</li> <li>13.</li> <li>Nulliparous, %</li> <li>Hormone therapy use, %</li> <li>Hormone therapy use, %</li> <li>Korent</li> <li>Never</li> <li>56.9</li> <li>50.2</li> <li>49.</li> <li>40.</li> <li>16.7</li> <li>17.7</li> <li>16.</li> <li>Current ET</li> <li>22.6</li> <li>27.7</li> <li>28.</li> </ul>	50-54	41.1	42.6	40.8	40.0
Nulliparous,%       11.0       12.7       14.         Hormone therapy use, %       56.9       50.2       49.         Never       56.9       50.2       49.         Never       16.7       17.7       16.         Past       3.9       4.4       5.         Current ET       22.6       27.7       28.	≥55	10.7	10.9	13.1	10.4
Hormone therapy use, % Never 56.9 50.2 49. Past 16.7 16. Current ET 3.9 4.4 5. Current EPT 22.6 27.7 28.	Nulliparous, %	11.0	12.7	14.9	17.4
Never         56.9         50.2         49.           Never         16.7         17.7         16.         17.         20.         2	Hormone therapy use, %				
Past         16.7         17.7         16.           Current ET         3.9         4.4         5.           Current EPT         22.6         27.7         28.	Never	56.9	50.2	49.9	51.2
Current ET         3.9         4.4         5.           Current EPT         22.6         27.7         28.	Past	16.7	17.7	16.2	19.5
Current EPT 22.6 27.7 28.	Current ET	3.9	4.4	5.2	4.6
	Current EPT	22.6	27.7	28.7	24.6

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

**NIH-PA Author Manuscript** 

		Alcohol consum	ption/day	
Characteristics	Nondrinkers (0 g/day)	<1 drink/day (>0 to <12 g/day)	1 to <2 drinks/day (12 to <24 g/day)	≥2 drinks/day (≥24 g/day)
Ever OC use, %	34.3	41.2	41.3	42.0
Current smokers, %	11.8	15.2	23.7	30.6
Diabetes, %	13.5	5.6	5.5	5.2
Hypertension, %	39.9	33.0	30.7	37.6
Family history of endometrial cancer, %	1.4	1.3	1.3	2.0
$^{I}$ Standardized to the age and ethnicity distribution of postmenop	usal women in the study.			

Setiawan et al.

~
~
_
_
- U
~
-
~
-
_
+
_
-
$\mathbf{O}$
<u> </u>
_
$\sim$
~
01
2
-
_
-
_
10
0,
0
0
<u> </u>
0
<u> </u>
-

## TABLE II TABLE ATTOS (RR 0) FOR ENDOMETRIAL CANCER IN REI

HAZARD RATE RATIOS (RRs) FOR ENDOMETRIAL CANCER IN RELATION TO ALCOHOL INTAKE IN THE MULTIETHNIC COHORT

	Nondrinkers (0 g eth/day)	<1 drink/day (>0 to <12 g eth/day)	1 to <2 drinks/day (12 to <24 g eth/day)	≥2 drinks/day (≥ 24 g eth/day)	<i>p</i> trend
Total intake					
No. cases	196	85	14	29	
RR <sup>I</sup> (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.91 (0.70, 1.19)	0.89 (0.51, 1.55)	1.59 (1.05, 2.42)	0.191
Multivariate $\mathbb{RR}^{I}$ (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	1.01 (0.77, 1.33)	1.09 (0.62, 1.93)	2.01 (1.30, 3.11)	0.013
Beer					
No. cases	196	42	5	4	
RR <sup>I</sup> (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.89 (0.63, 1.27)	1.40(0.57, 3.46)	1.06 (0.39, 2.89)	0.962
Multivariate $\mathbb{RR}^{I}$ (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	$1.04\ (0.73, 1.49)$	1.68 (0.67, 4.21)	1.46 (0.52, 4.12)	0.327
Wine					
No. cases	196	81	6	11	
$\mathbf{RR}^{I}$ (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.99 (0.75, 1.30)	1.07 (0.53, 2.14)	2.47 (1.30, 4.67)	0.120
Multivariate $\mathbb{RR}^{I}$ (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	$1.14\ (0.85, 1.52)$	1.37 (0.68, 2.78)	3.15 (1.63, 6.09)	0.007
Hard liquor					
No. cases	196	44	8	10	
RR <sup>I</sup> (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	1.03 (0.73, 1.46)	$1.69\ (0.81,\ 3.53)$	1.42 (0.73, 2.76)	0.191
Multivariate $RR^{I}$ (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	1.18 (0.82, 1.69)	2.25 (1.06, 4.77)	1.96 (0.98, 3.90)	0.015
I RRs were stratified by age at recruitment, y	year of recruitment, race/eth	nicity and study center. Multivariate F	Rs were further adjusted for education	n, body mass index, age at menarche	, age at menopause,

Setiawan et al.

duration and type of hormone therapy use, duration of oral contraceptive use, parity, smoking history, diabetes, hypertension and vigorous physical activity.

_
_
_
_
_
<b>U</b>
-
~
-
-
<u> </u>
-
_
_
$\sim$
U U
_
_
_
_
<
$\sim$
0
<u> </u>
_
_
_
_
<u> </u>
_
10
(1)
0
<b>U</b>
-
- 1
_
_

Setiawan et al.

.

# HAZARD RATE RATIOS (RRs) FOR ENDOMETRIAL CANCER IN RELATION TO ALCOHOL INTAKE BY OTHER RISK FACTOR CATEGORIES IN THE MULTIETHNIC COHORT TABLE III

			Total intake	
	Nondrinkers	<2 drink/day	≥2 drinks/day	p trend
BMI < 25				
No. cases	67	43	20	
Multivariate RR <sup>1</sup> (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	1.00 (0.64, 1.56)	2.88 (1.57, 5.31)	0.013
BMI 25 to <30				
No. cases	52	29	Ś	
Multivariate RR <sup>1</sup> (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	1.12 (0.67, 1.86)	1.22 (0.43, 3.44)	0.617
$BMI \ge 30$				
No. cases	77	27	4	
Multivariate RR <sup>1</sup> (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.84 (0.52, 1.35)	1.34(0.43, 4.11)	0.759
Never HT				
No. cases	106	41	8	
Multivariate RR <sup>I</sup> (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.93 (0.63, 1.37)	1.41 (0.64, 3.12)	0.825
Past HT				
No. cases	35	21	7	
Multivariate RR <sup>1</sup> (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	1.08 (0.57, 2.06)	2.96 (1.06, 8.28)	0.130
Current ET				
No. cases	11	7	κ	
Multivariate RR <sup>1</sup> (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	2.49 (0.45, 13.85)	20.01 (0.34, 11191.4)	0.152
Current EPT				
No. cases	44	30	11	
Multivariate RR <sup>I</sup> (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.99 (0.57, 1.71)	1.86(0.83,4.15)	0.269
Never smokers				
No. cases	120	50	6	
Multivariate RR <sup>I</sup> (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	1.13 (0.78, 1.62)	2.38 (1.13, 5.01)	0.091
Past smokers				
No. cases	63	41	14	
Multivariate $RR^{I}$ (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.98 (0.62, 1.52)	1.95(0.98, 3.88)	0.205

**NIH-PA** Author Manuscript

_
_
_
_
_
0
~
-
-
_
<u> </u>
t
_
$\sim$
_
-
<
$\geq$
0
മ
a
an
anu
anu
anus
anus
anuso
anusc
anuscr
anuscri
anuscrip
anuscrip
anuscript
anuscript
anuscript
anuscript

			To	otal intake	
		Nondrinkers	<2 drink/day	≥2 drinks/day	<i>p</i> trend
Current sn	nokers				
	No. cases	13	8	6	
	Multivariate $RR^{I}$ (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	$0.47\ (0.14,1.58)$	1.54 (0.33, 7.26)	0.967
Nulliparou	15				
	No. cases	21	24	7	
	Multivariate $\mathbb{RR}^{I}$ (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	2.39 (1.16, 4.93)	3.56 (1.20, 10.59)	0.006
Parous					
	No. cases	175	75	22	
	Multivariate $RR^{I}$ (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	0.91 (0.68, 1.22)	1.82 (1.11, 2.99)	0.275
OC never					
	No. cases	142	69	17	
	Multivariate $\mathbb{RR}^{I}$ (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	$1.10\ (0.80,1.50)$	1.93 (1.10, 3.39)	0.076
OC ever					
	No. cases	54	30	12	
	Multivariate $\mathbb{RR}^{I}$ (95% CI)	1.00 (referent)	$0.83\ (0.50,1.36)$	1.62 (0.76, 3.48)	0.640
l <sub>DD6</sub>	olocos tacontinuos do noro tacontinuos to con or lo de constructor	strainiter and study conton and adjust	مم فيمانيمونيم المطري سموه يتطمعو مع	to the second	for card buo of

ation and type of aur Kks were stratified by age at recruitment, year of recruitment, race/ethnicity and study center and adjusted for education, body mass index, age at menarche, age at menopau hormone therapy use, duration of oral contraceptive use, parity, smoking history, diabetes, hypertension and vigorous physical activity when appropriate.